

In the Drawings

Please amend the Drawings as follows:

Figure 2 has been amended to include a Roof Adjusting/Window Lifting Device 13A.

Applicant submits a Replacement Sheet which includes amended Figure 2. Support for this drawing change can be found in claim 7 as originally filed.

REMARKS

Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a)

It is hereby requested that the term to respond to the Examiner's Action of September 10, 2007 be extended two months, from December 10, 2007 to February 11, 2008 (February 10 being a Sunday).

Authorization to charge a Credit Card is given to cover the extension fee. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-5425.

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 1 through 8 are pending in the application and the Examiner rejected all claims.

Objections to the Drawings, Specification and Abstract

On pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the specification and drawings for various informalities. Applicants have amended the specification and/or drawings to overcome the Examiner's objections.

The §112 Rejections

On pages 3 through 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, and rejected claims 3 and 6-8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. With regard to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, Applicant has amended the claims to overcome these rejections.

With regard to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. A reading of the specification in connection with the drawings makes it clear that Figs. 3a and 3c correspond to one another and Figs. 3b and 3d correspond to one another. More specifically, Fig. 3a shows the effective electrical path of the switching device 13 when the switches are in the positions illustrated in switching diagram 3c, and Fig. 3b shows the effective electrical path of the switching device 13 when the switches are in the positions illustrated in switching diagram 3d. This is clearly explained in the specification with reference to the drawings. However, to avoid any confusion, Applicant has amended the description of the drawings in the specification to more clearly point out what these drawings each convey.

Allowable Subject Matter

On page 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, and stated that claims 2-8 would similarly be allowable if amended to overcome the §112 rejections and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant thanks the Examiner for this indication of allowable subject matter and submits that the §112 rejections have been overcome by the present amendments.

Conclusion

The present invention is not taught or suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the objections/rejection of the claims. An early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-5425.

Respectfully submitted

February 11, 2008
Date

/Mark D. Simpson/
Mark D. Simpson, Esquire
Registration No. 32,942

SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP
112 Nassau Street
P.O. Box 592
Princeton, NJ 08542-0592

Telephone: 609 924 3773
Facsimile: 609 924 1811
MSimpson@synnlech.com - Email